Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Radiation Measurements

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/radmeas





حى المنافع المنا

ARTICLEINFO

Thermoluminescence

UV-Vis spectrophotometry

Dental porcelain

Keywords:

Dosimetry

XRD

ABSTRACT

1. Introduction

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sampling

* Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radmeas.2019.04.017

Radiation Measurements 125 (2019) 7-14

exposure in the oral cavity (Gale and Darwell, 1999; Palla et al., 2018; Kelesi et al., 2018).

ਊa (1999). The set of th

- Sample A: Zero aging
- Sample B: 7300 TC cycles, corresponding to 1 year of *in-vivo* aging
- Sample C: 14600 TC cycles, corresponding to 2 years of *in-vivo* aging
- Sample D: 21900 TC cycles, corresponding to 3 years of *in-vivo* aging

2.2. Characterization measurements

2.3. TL system

3. Results and discussion

3.1. XRD measurements



3.2. UV-Vis measurements

مالي (1999)

3.3. TL measurements on the porcelain specimens

ि المحافظة المحافي المحاف المحافية المحافظة المحافية المحافية المحافية المحا



ើ

aged samples were studied in order to be compared to the corresponding *in-vitro* aged specimens.

3.3.1. The shape of the TL glow curve and sensitivity changes





3.3.2. Dose response and recovery test

运

ศ المعادي المعاد

伺 🗍

औ இன்னை இன்னு வன்னு வன்னு வன்னு வன்னு இன்னு வன்னு வன்னு இன்னு இன்னு வன்னு னன்னு னன்னு னன்னு னன்னு னன்னு னன்னு

3.3.3. TL fading

- Step 1: Irradiation with a Test Dose (TD) of 27 Gy and subsequent TL measurement to obtain the initial sensitivity.
- Step 2: TD and storage in dark for $t_i = 2$, 15, 26 and 50 h.



μ. 1999] 1990]

• Step 3: Residual TL (RTL) measurement up to 400 °C for measuring the faded TL signal, while emptying at the same time all electron traps which were thermally activated below that temperature.





Fig. 7. Fading of the TL signal. RTL vs $ln(t/t_o)$ presents a linear tendency (time is expressed in hours). The solid line presents the linear fitting according to the linear equation while the fitting parameters are also displayed.

the TL signal of the first peak; the data points are fitted with a linear equation according to the following form:

$$r = A - K \cdot \ln\left(\frac{t}{t_o}\right) \tag{3.1}$$

ೂ<table-cell>

$$r = 0.992 - 0.1131 \cdot \ln\left(\frac{t}{t_o}\right)$$

$$[3.2]$$

3.3.4. Lower detection limit

The Lower Detection Limit (D_{LDL}) was calculated under the procedure described by Pagonis et al. (2006). According this procedure, the D_{LDL} is defined as three times the standard deviation (σ_{bg}) of the zero dose reading, given in Gy.

- The average of the zero dose readings is equal to 128 a.u., with a standard deviation of 10 a.u.
- The calibration factor of the TL reader is given by the calibration dose (1.72 Gy) divided by the average value of 24 TL readings (equal to the 8 groups \times 3 specimens), and the result is (5.55 \pm 0.43) mGy/a.u.
- Finally, the calculated D_{LDL} is equal to: D_{LDL} = (3 × 10) × 5.55 ≃ 0.2 Gy, for a sample of mass comparable to the mass of LiF: Mg, Ti (TLD-100) (25 mg, chip).

This evaluated $D_{\rm LDL}$ value is considered as promising for using dental porcelain as a proper material for accidental dosimetry.

3.3.5. Isothermal decay

- Step 1: The previously annealed aliquot was irradiated with TD = 25 Gy, in order to populate the traps and centers.
- Step 2: TL measurement up to a temperature T with a step of 2 °C/s. At this temperature, called T_{dec} , the sample was left to decay thermally for 100 s.
- Step 3: After the end of the decay period, the sample was cooled down to room temperature and then measured up to T = 400 °C.
- \bullet Step 4: The steps 1–3 were repeated for a new decay temperature $T_{\rm dec}.$

 T_{dec} is at the range of 80–150 °C with a step of 10 °C. These temperatures are connected with the temperature range of the first glow peak.

Fig. 8 shows that the normalized curves almost coincide; there is only a slight difference between them, independent on the stimulation temperature. This kind of results is considered as a typical behavior for tunneling recombination processes at elevated temperatures (Sfampa et al., 2014). All the obtained experimental data were analyzed by applying a de-convolution approach. In the computerized curve deconvolution analysis of ITL, the goodness of fit was tested by the figure of merit (FOM) of Balian and Eddy (1977). Microsoft Excel along with the Solver add-on feature (Afouxenidis et al., 2012) is being utilized for all curve fittings.

The recorded curves from this experiment were analyzed and fitted through the following analytical equations (Kitis and Pagonis, 2013):

$$I(t) = \frac{C[F(t)]^2}{1 + zAt} \cdot \exp(-\rho'[F(t)]^3)$$
[3.3]

$$F(t) = \ln(2.718 + zAt)$$
[3.4]

where *C* is a constant related to the initial concentration of trapped electrons, and the quantity *A* (s⁻¹) represents the stimulation probability for the ITL stimulation process. The stimulation probability can also be described as $A = 1/\tau$, where τ is the characteristic time constant for each stimulation mode, and *I*(*t*) represents the intensity of the signal as a function of time. The time parameter in the case of ITL represents the duration of the isothermal experiment. In this equation, ρ' is the dimensionless concentration of charge carriers and z = 1.8 is a constant. Regarding the ITL experiments, $A = s \cdot \exp(-E/kT)$, where *T* is the constant temperature, *E* the activation energy, *s* is a frequency factor and *k* is the Boltzmann constant.

An example of the fitting procedure is presented in Fig. 9. One tunneling component plus a linear long-lived component were able to fit the experimental data. All the data for each sample were fitted according to the aforementioned procedure.

3.3.6. Estimation of activation energy

In order to reveal more information about the glow curve, two different ways were followed. Firstly, the initial rise (IR) method was





Fig. 9. An example of the fitting procedure according to equations [3.1]-[3.2] for the sample A. The black circles represent the experimental data, while the red line is the sum of the fitted components (blue line corresponds to the tunneling component and green line corresponds to the linear long-lived component). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

applied, as described by Chen and McKeever (1997). The IR method is the most valuable technique for the evaluation of the activation energy of an electron trap responsible for a TL peak. The method was introduced by Garlick and Gibson (1948) and a generalized version of the initial rise method named fractional glow technique has been presented by Gobrecht and Hofmann (1966). The method was applied to one specimen of each group of samples (glazed, unglazed, initial and invitro aged); the calculated values of the activation energies showed differentiations no bigger than 10%. In Fig. 10a, the mean values of the calculated energies are illustrated, as a function of temperature. The results show that the first peak corresponds to a trap with an energy of (1.15 ± 0.1) eV, while the second peak corresponds to a trap with an energy of (1.3 ± 0.1) eV.

Then, the glow curves were analyzed through a deconvolution method of analysis, using the general kinetic order model (GOK) for all of the specimens under study (Kitis et al., 1998). In Fig. 10b, a representative example of the deconvolution method is presented, as no differentiations greater than 5% were observed in the calculated activation energies. Microsoft Excel along with the Solver add-on feature (Afouxenidis et al., 2012) was utilized for the deconvolution. Six peaks

were used in total and the evaluated energies for the most prominent of them are (1 ± 0.05) eV (at 120 °C) and (1.2 ± 0.05) eV (at 270 °C).

It is assumed that both methods conclude to similar results. Additionally, Fig. 10b reveals -through the applied deconvolution-that the glow-curve is complex, as it consists of more than one overlapping TL peaks.

3.4. TL properties of the in-vivo aged samples

Additionally, two of the samples (vv2) presented a slightly altered shape of their TL glow curve. As it is illustrated in Fig. 11b, in this case, the collected TL glow curves also present a peak suitable for dosimetry, at \sim 340 °C.

Finally, it was impossible to apply the same experimental procedures to the two remaining of the *in-vivo* aged samples, because of their state of preservation. In this case, only flakes of the veneer material remained intact on the metallic frameworks, making it impossible to collect enough material for study.

Z<footnote>



4. Conclusions

4.1. Dosimetric properties

- The samples presented repeatability over the successive cycles of irradiation-measurement. This fact implies that the applied protocols are also applicable for single aliquot procedures.
- Linear response to the different doses was present for both *in-vitro* and *in-vivo* aged samples.
- Through the first peak and the calculated decay time, the time elapsed since the radiation event is possible to be estimated.
- The lower detectable limit (D_{LDL}) of irradiation was estimated at 0.2 Gy, for a sample of mass comparable to the mass of LiF, which is one of the most widely used TLDs.
- Regarding the *in-vivo* examined samples, the results implied that there is a wide range of materials which used to be applied for dental restorations (different brands of porcelain with unknown additives). The promising part is that all the samples present at least one peak prominent for dosimetric applications.

4.2. Contribution to the TL mechanism

- The first peak presented clearly tunneling recombination mechanism.
- The estimated band gap of only 3.5 eV ensured the absence of very deep traps (VDT) which offers a possibility of testing models based on strong competition from VDT.

4.3. Future work





Fig. 12. Dose response of the groups vv1 and vv2. The data points were linearly fitted and the slopes are equal to 0.9 ± 0.05 . The errors of the integrals are < 5%.

Acknowledgments

This project is implemented through the Operational Program "Human Resources Development, Education and Lifelong Learning" and is co-financed by the European Union (European Social Fund) and Greek national funds (MIS Code: 5004727).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radmeas.2019.04.017.

References

- Afouxenidis, D., Polymeris, G.S., Tsirliganis, N.C., Kitis, G., 2012. Computerized curve deconvolution of TL/OSL curves using a popular spreadsheet program. Radiat. Protect. Dosim. 149, 363–370. https://doi.org/10.1093/rpd/ncr315.
- Bailiff, I.K., Sholom, S., McKeever, S.W.S., 2016. Retrospective and emergency dosimetry in response to radiological incidents and nuclear mass-casualty events: a review. Radiat. Meas. 94, 83–139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radmeas.2016.09.004.
- Balian, G.H., Eddy, N.W., 1977. Figure-of-merit (FOM), an improved criterion over the normalized chi-squared test for assessing goodness-of-fit of gamma-ray spectral peaks. Nucl. Instrum. Methods 145, 389–395. https://doi.org/10.1016/0029-554X (77)90437-2.
- Bühler-Zemp, P., Völkel, T., 2005. IPS e.max [®] Ceram Scientific Documentation. Chen, R., McKeever, S.W.S., 1997. Theory of Thermoluminescence and Related Materials. World Scientific, Singapore.
- D'Amario, M., Campidoglio, M., Morresi, A.L., Luciani, L., Marchetti, E., Baldi, M., 2010. Effect of thermocycling on the bond strength between dual-cured resin cements and

zirconium-oxide ceramics. J. Oral Sci. 52, 425-430.

- Duller, G., 2008. Luminescence Dating Guidelines on Using Luminescence Dating in Archaeology. English Heritage, Swindon.
- Ekendahl, D., Judas, L., Sukupova, L., 2013. OSL and TL retrospective dosimetry with a fluorapatite glass-ceramic used for dental restorations. Radiat. Meas. 58, 138–144. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radmeas.2013.01.001.
- Ekendahl, D., Judas, L., 2017. OSL and TL retrospective dosimetry with leucite glassbased dental ceramics. Radiat. Meas. 104, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. RADMEAS.2017.06.013.
- Gale, M.S., Darwell, B.W., 1999. Thermal cycling procedures for laboratory testing of dental restorations. J. Dent. 27, 89–99.
- Garlick, G.F.J., Gibson, A.F., 1948. The electron trap mechanism of luminescence in sulphide and silicate phosphors. Proc. Phys. Soc. 60, 574–590 Article number 308.
- Geber-Bergstrand, T., Bernhardsson, C., Mattsson, S., Rääf, C.L., 2012. Retrospective dosimetry using OSL of tooth enamel and dental repair materials irradiated under wet and dry conditions. Radiat. Environ. Biophys. 51, 443–449. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00411-012-0434-9.
- Gobrecht, H., Hofmann, D., 1966. Spectroscopy of traps by fractional glow technique. J. Phys. Chem. Solids 27, 509–522. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3697(66)90194-6.
- IPS InLine System, 2006. Instructions for use. https://doi.org/10.1515/ 9783110824469.X.
- Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards, 2003. PC Powder Diffraction Files International Centre for Diffraction Data (PC PDF, JCPDS-ICDD).
- Kelesi, M., Kontonasaki, E., Kantiranis, N., Papadopoulou, L., Zorba, T., Paraskevopoulos, K.M., Koidis, P., 2018. The effect of different aging protocols on the flexural strength and phase transformations of two monolithic zirconia ceramics. J. Appl. Mater. Funct. Mater in press.
- Kitis, G., Gomez Ros, J.M., Tuyn, J.W.N., 1998. Thermoluminescence glow– curve deconvolution function for first, second and general order kinetics. J. Phys. D Appl. Phys. 31, 2636–2641.
- Kitis, G., Pagonis, V., 2013. Analytical solutions for stimulated luminescence emission from tunneling recombination in random distributions of defects. J. Lumin. 137, 109–115.
- Kubelka, P., Munk, F., 1931. Ein beitrag zur optik der farbanstriche. Zeitschrift f
 ür Technische Physik 12, 593–601.
- Pagonis, V., Kitis, G., Furetta, C., 2006. Numerical and Practical Exercises in Thermoluminescence. Springer-Verlag, New York. https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-30090-2.
- Palla, E.S., Kontonasaki, E., Kantiranis, N., Papadopoulou, L., Zorba, T., Paraskevopoulos, K.M., Koidis, P., 2018. Color stability of lithium disilicate ceramics after aging and immersion in common beverages. J. Prosthet. Dent 119, 632–642. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.prosdent.2017.04.031.
- Polymeris, G.S., Tsirliganis, N., Loukou, Z., Kitis, G., 2006. A comparative study of the anomalous fading effects of TL and OSL signals of Durango apatite. phys. stat. sol. (a) 203 (3), 578–590. https://doi.org/10.1002/pssa.200521347. 2006.
- Polymeris, G.S., Giannoulatou, V., Kyriakidou, A., Sfampa, I.K., Theodorou, G., Şahiner, E., Meriç, N., Kitis, G., Paraskevopoulos, K.M., 2017. Bioactivity characterization of 45S5 bioglass using TL, OSL and EPR: comparison with the case of 58S sol-gel bioactive glass. Mater. Sci. Eng. C 70, 673–680. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec. 2016.09.051.
- Sfampa, I.K., Polymeris, G.S., Tsirliganis, N.C., Pagonis, V., Kitis, G., 2014. Prompt isothermal decay of thermoluminescence in an apatite exhibiting strong anomalous fading. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B 320, 57–63.
- Veronese, I., Galli, A., Cantone, M.C., Martini, M., Vernizzi, F., Guzzi, G., 2010. Study of TSL and OSL properties of dental ceramics for accidental dosimetry applications. Radiat. Meas. 45, 35–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radmeas.2009.11.005.
- Wegner, S.M., Gerdes, W., Kern, M., 2002. Effect of different artificial aging conditions on ceramic-composite bond strength. Int. J. Prosthodont. (JJP) 15, 267–272.
- Weinstein, M., Weinstein, L.K., Katz, S., Weinstein, A., 1962. Fused porcelain-to-metal teeth. US Patent 3 052 982.
- Wintle, A.G., Murray, A.S., 2006. A review of quartz optically stimulated luminescence characteristics and their relevance in single-aliquot regeneration dating protocols. Radiat. Meas. 41, 369–391. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RADMEAS.2005.11.001.
- Yamamoto, M., 1985. Metal Ceramics. Principles and Methods, second ed. Quintessence Publishing Co. Inc, Chicago 1985.